



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_53953
Title of the Manuscript:	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF HEALTH CARE ONTOLOGY
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- The introduction is very short and does not describe what will be discussed in the article and the object of study. If it will be talked about health care ontology, this link needs to be made in the introduction.</p> <p>- A systematic review is characterized by an accurate search, with well-defined descriptors, capable of detecting articles on a given theme. After, it is made the analysis of these articles, knowledge gathering, critical and synthetic evaluation of the result. To characterize a systematic review, I suggest expanding the search technique to other databases and other languages and making explicit which search terms were used in each database. The study method should be clear and all the techniques used to search and sort the articles should be informed. You must include the exclusion and inclusion criteria of the articles.</p> <p>-A systematic review is expected to summarize the results of all articles, creating new information for the area with the evidence found in the articles. However, what appears to have been accomplished was an individual summary of each article.</p> <p>-The article focused on mapping existing references to health care ontology in what appears to be an article called "state of the art" or "state of knowledge". Even the study questions, which are broad and do not define a specific object of study.</p> <p>-I believe a new review needs to be done to meet the criteria of a systematic review.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aline Piovezan Entringer
Department, University & Country	Brasil